Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview

1. Date of Submission: 2011-02-25

2. Agency: 024

3. Bureau: 50

4. Name of this Investment: ICE - Intelligence Fusion Systems (IFS)

5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier (UPI): 024-50-01-04-01-5362-00

- 6. What kind of investment will this be in FY 2012?: Operations and Maintenance
 - Planning
 - Full Acquisition
 - Operations and Maintenance
 - Mixed Life Cycle
 - Multi-Agency Collaboration
- 7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? FY2001 or earlier

8.

a. Provide a brief summary of the investment and justification, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap, specific accomplishments expected by the budget year and the related benefit to the mission, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment.

IFS provides search and analysis capabilities across DHS and its component agencies responsible for enforcing or administering the United States customs and immigration laws. The IFS initiative supports the larger information sharing goals of the department, as well as strengthening and unifying the operations and management of ICE's mission areas by providing an integrated common interface for the fusion of all source intelligence and investigations information. IFS allows authorized DHS immigration officials, law enforcement personnel, and intelligence analysts to increase the efficiency of multiple data source searches and identification of similar, identical, or related information from disparate data sets. Users can quickly search large amounts of structured or unstructured data to identify individuals, groups, incidents, or activities based on user-defined parameters or queries. IFS search and analytical capabilities include:"-Avalanche (Structured Search) "-Portal (Unstructured Search) "-IDocX ? Intelligence Document Exploitation "-TAC? Third Agency Check "-TDS? TECS Data Search "-ICE IRS? Intelligence Reporting System ""The IFS searchable dataset includes indexed names, subject records, collected investigative documents, and numerous intelligence reports. This system helps serve the broadened DHS community of over 10,620 users with global intelligence information on topics such as smuggling, terrorism, and transnational trends. Although IFS is owned and operated by ICE HSI Intelligence, the daily IFS stakeholders include ICE, U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP), U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS), and United States Coast Guard (USCG)".

b. Provide any links to relevant websites that would be useful to gain additional information on the investment including links to GAO and IG reports.

Title Link
NONE

9.

a. Provide the date of the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approval of this investment.

1997-01-01

- b. Provide the date of the most recent or planned approved project charter. 2009-10-30
- 10. Contact information?
 - a. Program/Project Manager Name: *

Phone Number: *

Email: '

b. Business Function Owner Name (i.e. Executive Agent or Investment Owner): Peter Fitzhugh Phone Number: *

Email: *

- 11. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (choose only one per FAC-P/PM or DAWIA): Project manager has been validated according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.
 - Project manager has been validated according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.
 - Project manager qualifications according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria is under review for this
 investment
 - Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria.
 - Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started.
 - No project manager has yet been assigned to this investment.

Section B: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.B.1: Summary of Funding
(In millions of dollars)
(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

		(LStillia	ites for BT+1 and beyo	nd are for planning pu	iposes only and do no	represent budget dec	isions)		
	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2010	CY 2011 (CY Continuing Resolution)	BY 2012	BY+1 2013	BY+2 2014	BY+3 2015	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Acquisition:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Planning & Acquisition Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition(DME):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Operations & Maintenance:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Disposition Costs (optional):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Operations, Maintenance, Disposition Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Subtotal O&M and Disposition Costs (SS):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL (not including FTE costs):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL (including FTE costs):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Number of FTE represented by	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

		(Estima	ites for BY+1 and beyo	(In millions	mary of Funding s of dollars) rposes only and do no	t represent budget dec	sisions)		
	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2010	CY 2011 (CY Continuing Resolution)	BY 2012	BY+1 2013	BY+2 2014	BY+3 2015	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Costs:									

- 2. Insert the number of years covered in the column "PY-1 and earlier": 1
- 3. Insert the number of years covered in the column "BY+4 and beyond": *
- 4. If the summary of funding has changed from the FY 2011 President's Budget request, briefly explain those changes:

Page 4 / 15 of Section300

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1.

1.													
					Table I.	C.1 Contra	cts Table						
Contract Status	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	Solicitation ID	Alternativ e financing	EVM Require d	Ultimate Contract Value (M)	Type of Contract/Ta sk Order (Pricing)	Is the contract a Perform ance Based Service Acquisit ion (PBSA)?	Effective date	Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Ta sk Order	Extent Competed	Short description of acquisition
Awarded	7012	HSCETC10J00023	HSHQDC06D000 45	HSCETC-10- R-00024	*	*	\$13.8	Firm Fixed Price	N	2010-09-27	2013-08-31	Y	Intelligence Fusion Systems (IFS)(FC2, Tier 1).
Awarded	7012	HSCETC10J00027	HSHQDC06D000 32		•	*	\$0.2	Firm Fixed Price	N	2010-09-20	2011-01-18	Y	INTEL FUSION SYSTEM TO ACQUIRE EMC PROF SERV FOR DATA CTR MIGRATION FOR DC1 & DC2 UTILIZING EMC RECOVER POINT TECH. CONTRC NO. HSHQDC-06 -D-00032. POP 6/1/10-6/30/1 0. AMB # 3035. AAP # 65110.

Page 5 / 15 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

- 2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:
- 3.
- a. Has an Acquisition Plan been developed? If yes, please answer the questions that follow *
- b. Does the Acquisition Plan reflect the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 *
- c. Was the Acquisition Plan approved in accordance with agency requirements *
- d.If "yes," enter the date of approval? *
- e.Is the acquisition plan consistent with your agency Strategic Sustainability Performance Plan? *
- f. Does the acquisition plan meet the requirements of EOs 13423 and 13514? *
- $g.\mbox{If an Acquisition Plan has not been developed, provide a brief explanation.}$

Page 6 / 15 of Section300

Part II: IT Capital Investments

Section A: General

- 1.
- a. Confirm that the IT Program/Project manager has the following competencies: configuration management, data management, information management, information resources strategy and planning, information systems/network security, IT architecture, IT performance assessment, infrastructure design, systems integration, systems life cycle, technology awareness, and capital planning and investment control. yes
- b.If not, confirm that the PM has a development plan to achieve competencies either by direct experience or education.
- 2. Describe the progress of evaluating cloud computing alternatives for service delivery to support this investment. ifs is a legacy system working towards becoming 100% compliant with dhs trm. the project team will utilize a development methodology giving functionality to users and leverage dhs cloud production services as primary alternative for new requirements
- 3. Provide the date of the most recent or planned Quality Assurance Plan 2010-12-20
- 4.
- a. Provide the UPI of all other investments that have a significant dependency on the successful implementation of this investment.
- b. If this investment is significantly dependent on the successful implementation of another investment(s), please provide the UPI(s).
- 5. An Alternatives Analysis must be conducted for all Major Investments with Planning and Acquisition (DME) activities and evaluate the costs and benefits of at least three alternatives and the status quo. The details of the analysis must be available to OMB upon request. Provide the date of the most recent or planned alternatives analysis for this investment.
- 6. Risks must be actively managed throughout the lifecycle of the investment. The Risk Management Plan and risk register must be available to OMB upon request. Provide the date that the risk register was last updated. 2010-12-20

Section B: Cost and Schedule Performance

		Table	II.B.1. Compariso	n of Actual Work C	Completed and Ac	tual Costs to Curi	rent Approved Bas	eline:		
Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
FY09 O&M	SS	*	\$6.5	\$6.5	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
FY10 O&M	SS	*	\$5.8	\$5.8	2009-10-01	2009-10-01	2010-09-30	2010-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
FY11 O&M	SS	*	\$6.0	\$2.6	2010-10-01	2010-10-01	2011-09-30		43.00%	43.00%
FY12 O&M	SS	*	*	*	2011-10-01	*	2012-09-30	*	*	*
FY13 O&M	SS	*	*	*	2012-10-01	*	2013-09-30	*	*	*
FY14 O&M	SS	*	*	*	2013-10-01	*	2014-09-30	*	*	*
FY15 O&M	SS	*	*	*	2014-10-01	*	2015-09-30	*	*	*
FY16 O&M	SS	*	*	*	2015-10-01	*	2016-09-30	*	*	*
FY17 O&M	SS	*	*	*	2016-10-01	*	2017-09-30	*	*	*

2. If the investment cost, schedule, or performance variances are not within 10 percent of the current baseline, provide a complete analysis of the reasons for the variances, the corrective actions to be taken, and the most likely estimate at completion.

3. For mixed lifecycle or operations and maintenance investments an Operational Analysis must be performed annually. Operational analysis may identify the need to redesign or modify an asset by identifying previously undetected faults in design, construction, or installation/integration, highlighting whether actual operation and maintenance costs vary significantly from budgeted costs, or documenting that the asset is failing to meet program requirements. The details of the analysis must be available to OMB upon request. Insert the date of the most recent or planned operational analysis. 2011-02-10

4. Did the Operational analysis cover all 4 areas of analysis: Customer Results, Strategic and Business Results, Financial Performance, and Innovation? yes

Section C: Financial Management Systems

	Table II.C.1: Financial	Management Systems	
System(s) Name	System acronym	Type of Financial System	BY Funding
+	+	+	+

Section D: Multi-Agency Collaboration Oversight (For Multi-Agency Collaborations only) **Table II.D.1. Customer Table: Customer Agency** Joint exhibit approval date NONE **Table II.D.2. Shared Service Providers Shared Service Asset Title** Shared Service Provider Exhibit 53 UPI (BY 2011) **Shared Service Provider (Agency)** Table II.D.3. For IT Investments, Partner Funding Strategies (\$millions): Partner Partner exhibit 53 UPI **BY Monetary** Fee-for-Service Agency (BY 2012) Fee-for-Service NONE Table II.D.4. Legacy Systems Being Replaced Name of the Legacy Date of the System **Current UPI**

Page 10 / 15 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

Section E: Performance Information

			Table I.E.1a. Performa	ance Metric Attributes			
Measurement Area (For IT Assets)	Measurement Grouping (For IT Assets)	Measurement Indicator	Reporting Frequency	Unit of Measure	Performance Measure Direction	Baseline	Year Baseline Established for this measure (Origination Date)
Customer Results	Customer Training	# of classes offered	annual	Number of classes given during the FY	Increase	18	2012-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2013	20			2010-09-22
			2014	22%			2010-09-22
			2015	23			2010-09-22
			2016	24			2010-09-22
			2017	25			2010-09-22
			2018	26			2010-09-22
Mission and Business Results	Accounting	Accuracy	annual	Dollars	Increase	79%	2008-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	81%	81%	Met	2010-09-22
			2010	83%	85%	Met	2011-02-25
			2011	85%	35%	Not Due	2011-02-25

Page 11 / 15 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

Processes and Activities

Innovation and Improvement

	2012	87%			2010-09-22
	2013	89%			2010-09-22
	2014	91%			2010-09-22
	2015	93%			2010-09-22
	2016	93%			2010-09-22
	2017	95%			2010-09-22
	2018	97%			2010-09-22
Alignment with OCIO	annual	Technology	Increase	0%	2009-10-01
TRM		Conversion/Upgrade			
TRM	Fiscal Year	Conversion/Upgrade Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
TRM	Fiscal Year 2009	Conversion/Upgrade		Target	
TRM		Conversion/Upgrade Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
TRM	2009	Conversion/Upgrade Target 5%	Actual Results 5%	Target "Met" or "Not Met" Met	Last Updated 2010-09-22
TRM	2009	Target 5% 10%	Actual Results 5% 10%	Target "Met" or "Not Met" Met Met	2010-09-22 2011-02-25
TRM	2009 2010 2011	Target 5% 10%	Actual Results 5% 10%	Target "Met" or "Not Met" Met Met	2010-09-22 2011-02-25 2011-02-25
TRM	2009 2010 2011 2012	Target 5% 10% 15% 30%	Actual Results 5% 10%	Target "Met" or "Not Met" Met Met	2010-09-22 2011-02-25 2011-02-25 2010-09-22

Page 12 / 15 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

			2015	70%			2010-09-22
			2016	80%			2010-09-22
			2017	90%			2010-09-22
			2018	100%			2010-09-22
Customer Results	Customer Training	Average response rating of training course	annual	Survey Results	Increase	Agree	2008-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2009	Agree	Agree	Met	2010-09-22
			2010	Strongly Agree	Strongly Agree	Met	2011-02-25
			2011	Strongly Agree		Not Due	2011-02-25
			2012	Strongly Agree			2010-09-22
Technology	Data Standardization or Tagging	NIEM standardization for web services	annual	Number of convertion of web services	Increase	10%	2011-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2012	30%			2010-09-22
			2013	50%			2010-09-22
			2014	60%			2010-09-22

Page 13 / 15 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

			2015	70%			2010-09-22
			2016	80%			2010-09-22
			2017	90%			2010-09-22
			2018	100%			2010-09-22
Technology	Standards Compliance and Deviations	Standards Compliance and Deviations	annual	SLM Compliance	Increase	0%	2011-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2012	100%			2010-09-22
Technology	Availability	Uptime availability (excluding scheduled outages) for IFS	annual	Usage Reports	Increase	89%	2008-10-01
Technology	Availability	(excluding scheduled	annual Fiscal Year	Usage Reports Target	Increase Actual Results	89% Target "Met" or "Not Met"	2008-10-01 Last Updated
Technology	Availability	(excluding scheduled				Target	
Technology	Availability	(excluding scheduled	Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
Technology	Availability	(excluding scheduled	Fiscal Year 2009	Target 91%	Actual Results 91%	Target "Met" or "Not Met" Met	Last Updated 2010-09-22
Technology	Availability	(excluding scheduled	Fiscal Year 2009 2010	91% 93%	Actual Results 91%	Target "Met" or "Not Met" Met Met	2010-09-22 2011-02-25

Page 14 / 15 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)

* - Indicates data is redacted.

Page 15 / 15 of Section300 OMB Circular No. A11 (2010)